Country factsheet

Japan
Content

Introduction .................................................................................................................................................. 3
1. National cluster policy, programmes and initiatives ........................................................................... 5
2. State of play of cluster policy ............................................................................................................... 10
Bibliography ............................................................................................................................................... 14
Annex ...................................................................................................................................................... 15
Introduction

This document presents an overview of the cluster policy in Japan. Given the importance to contextualise the cluster policies (and related) analysed in the factsheets, a comprehensive outlook of the country in socioeconomic terms can be consulted in the OECD Economic Survey: Japan 2021.

The “Economic Surveys” present the major challenges faced by the country, evaluating key economic policies (e.g. fiscal, monetary, labour, green growth) and giving insight into cluster activities.

The COVID-19 pandemic hit the economy hard, provoking a marked downturn. The economy is recovering, supported by macroeconomic policies and progress in addressing infections. Economic activity tumbled in Spring 2020 as sanitary restrictions restrained consumption and investment. Government support and the reopening of the economy led to a partial bounce back, but difficulties in containing infections held back growth until the first half of 2021.

The ongoing Russian military aggression on Ukraine has also taken its toll on Japanese companies and industrial ecosystems. In particular, the geo-economic ramifications of the conflict threaten crucial energy, food and raw materials supply chains, where Japan is heavily import-reliant. Managing the economic fallout of the crisis requires a broad set of policy instruments and highlights again the significance of policy efforts in supporting SMEs and clusters.

In the following, a succinct overview of the cluster policy in Japan will be provided. The structure of this factsheet generally encompasses:

1) an overview of the national cluster policy,
2) an assessment of the state of play of the national cluster policy.
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National cluster policy, programmes and initiatives
1. National cluster policy, programmes and initiatives

In this section we provide an overview of the existing Japanese cluster policies on a national level.

The breakdown is presented in the form of a table, with the first column showcasing information on the aspects which constitute the policy (beginning with ‘Policy Objectives’, following with ‘Policy Focus’, etc.) and the second column representing the case of a Japanese national cluster policy.

Within the table the text presented in bold (black) depicts standardised categories across country factsheets (56 in total for 2022), which are applied for comparative purposes. This is followed by a complementary descriptive text to provide more insights about the cluster policy in Japan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy type:</th>
<th>National cluster policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy name:</td>
<td>Support for core regional companies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POLICY OBJECTIVES**

- **Strengthening cooperation between companies or industry and RTDI actors**
- **Increasing competitiveness and boosting scale up of SMEs**
- **Promoting entrepreneurship, start-ups and spin-offs**
- **Promoting employment and upgrading skills and competences**
- **Strengthening the network of cluster organisations/cross-clustering**

The Support for core regional companies programme focuses on creating core companies that revitalise and drive the regional economy. The programme aims to support regional businesses through the creation of a network of external resources such as universities, financial institutions, cluster organisations, and cooperating companies from across the country by using support personnel. Additionally, the programme helps companies formulate commercialisation strategies and develop sales channels. The policy provides cluster subsidies on an annual basis. Alongside JETRO's "Regional Industry Tie-up programme", the "regional core business creation support programme" run by the METI is one of Japan's most important regional cluster policies. The policy is nation-wide and does not focus on any specific regions; however, regional authorities (regional METI bureaus) are involved in the development of the clusters.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Policy type:</strong></th>
<th>National cluster policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy name:</strong></td>
<td>Support for core regional companies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POLICY FOCUS**

No specific focus

The cluster policy does not support a specific sector but provides general support for regional businesses. Some regional support programmes carried out as part of this policy, however, focus on specific sectors. The Hokkaido Biotechnology Industrial Cluster Forum, for instance, supports biotechnology to enhance and connect the food, agriculture, and health sector.

**RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITIES**

Both drafting and implementation

- Provides funding
- Oversees the implementation

The Japanese Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) is responsible for the drafting, funding, and implementation of this regional cluster policy. Regional branch organisations (e.g., the Kanto Bureau of Economy, Trade and Industry or the Chugoku Bureau of Economy, Trade and Industry) also oversee and support the cluster policy.

**BENEFICIARIES**

- SMEs
- Cluster organisations
- Research organisations
- Academic institutions
- Start-ups
- Large firms
- General population
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy type:</th>
<th>National cluster policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy name:</td>
<td>Support for core regional companies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regional cluster policy focuses primarily on regional companies to spur their growth. Other important actors and beneficiaries are universities, cooperating companies, and financial institutions.

**INSTRUMENTS**

| Financial | Support to R&D projects, SMEs becoming cluster members, etc.  
Financing start-ups  
Others: Financing of human resources activities, market research, participation in exhibitions to develop new markets, and meetings related to business matchmaking |
| Technical assistance | Support for hard skill development: knowledge transfer, intellectual property, entrepreneurship, export advice, market intelligence  
Support for soft skills development: coaching, management training, upskilling/reskilling  
Support for networking and partnership building (at national and/or international level)  
Marketing activities: advertising, communication, events, fairs, and so on  
Others: Support for formulation of commercialisation strategy and development of sales channels using human resources |
| Explanation | The regional cluster policy provides both financial and technical assistance. A METI committee consisting of external experts is responsible for the screening and approval of cluster projects applying for funding. In 2018, about 227 regional projects were selected for funding. This support covers the development of systems to implement projects, the development and application of new technologies and services, the formulation of strategies for commercialisation, and the development of sales channels for regional companies. |

**HISTORY**

<p>| Period | Unlimited period |
| Ending year (for policies with limited period) | Not indicated in the sources |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy type:</th>
<th>National cluster policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy name:</td>
<td>Support for core regional companies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting year</th>
<th>Not indicated in the sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Explanation</td>
<td>Not specifically indicated in the sources. A policy information paper suggests that the policy has existed since as early as 2011.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUDGET</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of funding</td>
<td>Funded by the METI (national and regional bureaus).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLICY EVALUATION</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>no policy evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>The sources do not indicate that a policy evaluation was carried out. As noted by Kuwajuma (2022), systematic evaluations are generally rare for Japan's cluster policies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| POLICY ALIGNMENT WITH THE EU PRIORITIES | - |
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State of play of cluster policy
2. State of play of cluster policy

This section presents an overview on the state of play of Japanese cluster policy in the form of a quantitative and qualitative assessment. The data below illustrates how the country ranks in terms of **maturity of cluster policy at the national level**. The maturity assessment is based on a combination of factors presented in Chapter 1, which receive a score based on the existence or absence of a given element in the cluster policy.

Note: The maturity assessment does not reflect the performance of a country, but only the degree of development of their national cluster policy at the moment of data collection (Q3 2022). The assessment illustrates how the country scores for each of the four criteria (policy scope, continuity of cluster policies, evidence of performance, cluster support instruments) compared to the maximum score that they can reach. Please refer to the **Annex** for the detailed overview of the categories and the scoring system.

The table below presents an overview of the **maturity assessment for Japan** for 2022. The total score of Japan is 6,5 points out of 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAPAN</th>
<th>MATURITY ASSESSMENT</th>
<th>Max score</th>
<th>Actual score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY SCOPE</td>
<td>Absence of cluster policy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Broad policy</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sectoral policy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National and/or regional cluster policy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTINUITY</td>
<td>No cluster-specific policy available</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cluster policy established recently</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cluster policy established between over 2 and 10 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cluster policy established over 10 years ago</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIDENCE OF PERFORMANCE</td>
<td>No evaluation and / or monitoring available</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existence of evaluations of past policies</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existence of monitoring or an ongoing / interim evaluation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existence of monitoring and ex-ante or ongoing / interim evaluation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLUSTER SUPPORT INSTRUMENTS</td>
<td>No instruments for cluster development</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial support for cluster development in the broader and / or sectoral policy</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial or technical support for cluster development in dedicated cluster policy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial and technical support for cluster development in dedicated cluster policy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL (8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Drawing from the table above that showcases the scored points in Japan’s cluster policy, the Figure below portrays the degree of maturity across four categories related to the national level cluster policy.

*Figure 1: Maturity of cluster policy - Japan*

![Figure 1](image_url)

Source: ECCP (2022)

The text below provides a qualitative description of the state of play of the cluster policy in Japan, which is complementary to the maturity assessment presented above.

**Policy scope**

Japan’s cluster policies have been carried out continuously without interruption, with the Knowledge Cluster project ending in 2011 and the Industrial Cluster project remaining in effect until 2020. Originally set to end several years earlier in 2016, the Industrial Cluster policy had been extended until 2020. After its conclusion, the Japanese government still continuously implements regional cluster policies such as the support for core regional companies (by METI, running since at least 2011) and its associated projects and programmes.

**Continuity**

During the 1990s, as the exceptional economic growth of the 1980s faded, and in particular after the Asian financial crisis of 1998, Japan revised its industrial policy towards approaches focusing on R&D, innovation, and clusters. The Science and Technology Law of 1995 paved the way for the collaboration between businesses and universities. The second Science and Technology Law of 2001 eventually permitted the launch of Japan’s first national cluster policy, the Industrial Clusters Plan under the supervision of the METI. Just one year later in 2002, the second national policy, the Knowledge Clusters

---

1 METI: Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry.

**Strengthening the European economy through collaboration**
programme was started by the MEXT\textsuperscript{2} and continued for a decade until 2011. The programmes did run in parallel and had different, complementary focus areas with the METI concentrating on cluster development in established industries while the MEXT supported primarily clusters around new technologies and rising sectors.

The continuity of the support for core regional companies is not clearly indicated in the sources. This regional policy has existed since at least 2011 and appears to be ongoing. Applications for cluster projects are selected and supported by the METI on an annual basis.

\textbf{Evidence of Performance}

Evaluations of Japan's cluster policies are not done in a regular manner, as noted by Kuwajuma (2022). There are, however, occasional evaluations that cover the most important cluster support programmes of the past 20 years, namely the Knowledge Cluster Initiative run by the MEXT from 2002-2011 and the Industrial Clusters Plan run by the METI from 2001-2020.

For the MEXT's Knowledge Cluster Initiative, each cluster was advised to evaluate and re-examine its own cluster project. The MEXT also evaluated the progress of the Knowledge Cluster Initiative every 2.5 years. The progress of the policy was evaluated based on 1) the progress and plans of the policy (i.e., technical factors such as R&D progress, regional programmes and autonomy, and systems for the projects' promotion), 2) the quality of self-evaluation, and 3) the possibility of a future cluster.

A 2005 interim evaluation of the Knowledge Clusters Initiative (MEXT 2005) highlights that some clusters require an improvement in the business-orientation of research themes and a better integration of intellectual property strategies. Additionally, some clusters require more market needs analyses, numerical targets, and involvement of private corporations. Finally, the evaluation concluded that internationalisation activities and human resource activities (e.g., talent scouting) should be enhanced in some clusters.

An evaluation of METI's Industrial Clusters Plan in 2015 (Okubo & Okazaki 2015) found that the programme was successful at creating networks between local firms and financial institutions as well as expanding the trading network of firms, boosting employment and sales, and fostering business networks between peripheral and metropolitan firms.

\textbf{Cluster Support Instruments}

The Japanese Clusters are offered different financial and technical support instruments on a national level. As part of the financial support instruments related to clusters, the Japanese government provides help, e.g., by financing start-ups and by supporting R&D Projects as well as the financing of human resources activities, market research, participation in exhibitions to develop new markets, and meetings related to business matchmaking. Regarding technical support, the Japanese Government also provides support in developing several hard and soft skills, conducting marketing activities and formulating / developing strategies and sales channels. To sum up, the Japanese Government offers extensive support, both financial and technical.

\textsuperscript{2} MEXT: Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.
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## Annex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion of maturity assessment</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Scoring (points between 0 and 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Policy scope**                 | assessment whether the country has a dedicated cluster policy, or cluster creation and/or development is targeted through broader policies, e.g. foreign trade policies, labour and social policies or specific sectoral policies, e.g. industrial policy tourism policies, agriculture policies | absence of cluster policy = 0  
existence of broader policies = 0,5  
existence of specific sectoral policies = 1  
existence of targeted cluster policies = 2 |
| **Continuity of cluster policies** | assessment of the duration and experience of the country in carrying out cluster policies. This criterion assesses only existence of targeted cluster policies and not broader policies or sectoral policies | absence of dedicated policies supporting cluster development = 0  
cluster policy established recently (within the last 2 years) = 0,5  
cluster policy established between over 2 and 10 years = 1  
cluster policy established over 10 years ago = 2 |
| **Evidence of performance**      | assessment whether there are evaluations of past and ongoing policies and a monitoring system in place. The existence of monitoring and evaluation mechanisms determines the degree of policy development in the country | no evaluation and / or monitoring available =0  
existence of evaluations of past policies, e.g. ex-ante = 0,5  
existence of monitoring or an ongoing / interim evaluation =1  
evidence of monitoring and ex-ante ongoing / interim evaluation =2 |
| **Cluster Support Instruments**  | assessment whether the policies provide any instruments to support the policy implementation, being these financial and/or technical support | no instruments for cluster development =0  
financial support for cluster development in the broader and / or sectoral policy = 0,5  
financial or technical support for cluster development in dedicated cluster policy = 1  
financial and technical support for cluster development in dedicated cluster policy = 2 |

Source: ECCP (2022)